Johnson&Johnson

Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)

NOTE TO AUTHORS: To see general and in-text instructions turn on hidden text as follows: Click on the ¶ symbol in the Paragraph section of the Home Ribbon.

Protocol Number: 64007957MMY4004 Version Number: V2.0

Last Revision Date of DPIA: 23-May-2024

Created by: Caroline Verrijcken, Global Trial Leader

Filing: Refer to the study-specific TV-FRM-10860: Filing and Archiving Plan and TV-FRM-06254: TMF

Content Map.

Johnson&Johnson Protocol: 64007957MMY4004 Version: V2.0 Version Date: 23-May-2024

Table of Contents

1	Revision History	3
	Approvals	
3		
	3.1 Protocols	
	3.2 Janssen Global Sponsor(s) and Regulatory Roles	
	3.3 Types of Individuals and Collection of Special Categories of Personal Information	
	3.4 Study Specific Risks Relating to Processing of Personal Information	5
	3.5 Systems	5
	3.6 External Service Providers	6
4	Cross-Border Transfer and Data Localization	6
5	Legal	7

	Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)			
Johnson&Johnson	Protocol: 64007957MMY4004	Version: V2.0	Version Date: 23-May-2024	

1 Revision History

Version	Date	Description of Change(s)	
1.0	03-Oct-2023	Initial version DPIA V2.0	
2.0	23-May-2024	DPIA update needed as V3.0 available	

2 Approvals

Function/Role	Name	Signature
Global Trial Leader	Caroline Verrijcken	
☐ Global Privacy Representative		

3 Data Protection Impact Assessment Regions and Associated Protocols 3.1 Protocols

This form should be completed after review of the General DPIA

Protocol Version(s)	Protocol Date
V1.0	22-Aug-2023
V2.0	26-Apr-2024

3.2 Janssen Global Sponsor(s) and Regulatory Roles

Roles per the Clinical Trials Directive / Regulation

Global Sponsor:	NA
Clobal opolicor.	1.4.
☐ Sponsor in EU/EEA:	Janssen Cilag International
= openeer = e/==/	
	Turnhoutseweg 30,
	Turmouseweg 50,
	2340 Beerse, Belgium
	2340 Decise, Deigium
	110
☐ Representative in EU/EEA:	NA

Roles per the General Data Protection Regulation

Data Controller:	Janssen Cilag International
	Turnhoutseweg 30,
	2340 Beerse, Belgium
	NA

	Study Specif	Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)				
ohnson&Johnson	Protocol: 64007957MMY4004	Version: V2	0	Version Date: 23-May-2024		
Data Controllers Representative in E	U/EEA:					
Is this a registrational study?						
☐ Yes	⊠ No					
Are all Countrie	s and Clinical Sites liste	d in CTMS?				
⊠ Yes	☐ No, provide commer	nt				
Comm	nent:					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g study partic	pants that	t belongs to the following		
categories of individuals? ☑ Trial participants - treatment population ☐ Trial participants - healthy volunteers ☐ Children (e.g., if the study is a pediatric study) ☐ Other: :						
opinions, religi genetic data, b	ous or philosophical beli	efs, or trade or pose of uniqu	ınion men ıely identif	al racial or ethnic origin, political nbership, and the processing of ying a natural person, data fe or sexual orientation.		
	ata for the purpose of un natural person	iquely \Box	Political	Opinions		
	ning a natural person's s	sex life or 🗵	Racial o	r Ethnic Origin		
□ Data concer	ning health		Religiou	s or Philosophical Beliefs		
☐ Genetic Data		din	Trade Ur	nion Membership		
countries wh Race/Ethnic	Ethnic Origin is collecte lere allowed. In this stud Origin is not collected in considered to be overal	y n France,				
 Are the Special Categories of Personal Information indicated above required to be collected in accordance with the study protocol <u>and</u> does the protocol include a scientific rationale justifying the need for collecting the data? 						
⊠ Yes						
☐ No, provide	rationale why the collect	ion of the dat	a is neces	sary		
Rationale:						

	Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)			
Johnson&Johnson	Protocol: 64007957MMY4004	Version: V2.0	Version Date: 23-May-2024	

3.4 Study Specific Risks Relating to Processing of Personal Information

- Identify risks which are specific for the study.
- Common risks related to the processing of personal information are included in the General DPIA. Consideration should be given to specific processes in a study which may pose additional risk or a higher likelihood of disclosure e.g., review by the sponsor of a document which is less likely to be redacted such as a pathology report of biopsied tissue.
- Study-specific risks may include, but are not limited to, risks identified in the General DPIA
 where mitigation / controls are addressed differently and risks not identified in the General
 DPIA. Specific attention should be given to risks related to process, disclosure, or transfer of
 directly identifiable study participant data.
- In the table below Residual Risk means risk remaining after mitigation and controls have been put in place.
- If a risk is identified, it will then be discussed with the stakeholders to resolve or determine if a privacy officer needs to be brought in for further guidance.

Are there any study specific risks that have been identified?

 \boxtimes No \square Yes, complete the table below

If "NO" is checked above, the table below does not apply and will remain blank

Risk Description / Scenario	Potential Impact to data subject (Study Participant)	Mitigation / Controls reducing the risk	Residual Risk (Low, Medium, High)
Example: Patient's personal information may be collected in error on Pathology forms submitted to central lab supplier	Example: Sites are instructed to redact patient's personal information on pathology reports prior to submission but risk that data is not fully redacted	Example: Supplier to have QC process to identify any privacy issues and agreed action plan. Monitoring guidelines to direct SMs to check redacted copies filed in site files	Low

3.5 Systems

The privacy assessment of systems used in clinical studies sponsored by Janssen Research and Development is governed by TV-SOP-48974: Data Protection Impact Assessments for clinical studies sponsored by Janssen Research & Development. Where required, in accordance with TV-SOP-48974: a specific system Data Protection Impact Assessment is performed.

For systems that are not included in the List of R&D Systems assessed by Privacy, contact the Business Application Owner and Privacy to initiate such an assessment.

Systems, which may be associated with a high risk to individuals' personally identifiable information are assessed in accordance with <u>TV-SOP-19006</u>: Global Privacy Impact Assessment and <u>TV-SOP-48974</u>: Data Protection Impact Assessments for clinical studies sponsored by Janssen Research & Development.

	Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)			
Johnson&Johnson	Protocol: 64007957MMY4004	Version: V2.0	Version Date: 23-May-2024	

System Name	System Specific DPIA ID#	Included in the List of R&D Systems assessed by Privacy?	privacy or provide rationale why
iDARTs	2414/ NA	Yes	No technology specific DPIA is required: See assessment ID: 6603.
LSAF	2420/ NA	Yes	No technology specific DPIA is required: See assessment ID: 6603.
Medidata Rave	2428/ 4195	Yes	
MFT (MBOX- SDX) Managed File Transfer Platform	3021/ NA	Yes	No technology specific DPIA is required: See assessment ID: 6603.
V-TMF	2439/ NA	Yes	No technology specific DPIA is required: See assessment ID: 6603.

3.6 External Service Providers

The privacy assessment of external service providers or suppliers used in clinical studies sponsored by Janssen Research and Development is governed by TV-SOP-48974. Ensuring inspection readiness requires that we document all suppliers / external service providers that access, process and view patient data.

⊠ Confirm all study suppliers accessing or processing study participant level data are on the List of Suppliers assessed to process personal data in clinical studies sponsored by Janssen Research & Development (see location above). Include the suppliers in the table below.

☐ Other Service Providers not listed, add them to the table below and provide information about the privacy qualification performed and countries in scope, as applicable.

If the Privacy Qualification conditions are not met, contact the Global Privacy group via the mailbox before finalizing the DPIA.

Name of External Service Provider / Third Party Supplier	Business Partner Risk Assessment	Approved Supplier List	Privacy Group Assessment Complete	Comments (e.g., Country Scope)
IQVIA	Yes	Yes	Yes	CRO
Medidata Solutions, IncNew York, NY, USA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Computerized Systems
Parexel International Limited_Dublin, Ireland	Yes	Yes	Yes	Data Management

	Study Specific Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)				
Johnson&Johnson	Protocol: 64007957MMY4004	Version: V2.0	Version Date: 23-May-2024		

Name of External Service Provider / Third Party Supplier	Business Partner Risk Assessment	Approved Supplier List	Privacy Group Assessment Complete	Comments (e.g., Country Scope)
SAS Institute Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Computerized Systems
Veeva Systems IncPleasanton, CA, USA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Computerized Systems

4 Cross-Border Transfer and Data Localization

General statement on cross border transfers.

- Cross-border transfer from the European Economic Area (EEA), UK and Switzerland.
 - The data protection laws in the EEA, UK, and Switzerland require that contractual and other safeguards be put into place when personal data is transferred to countries which do not have adequate data protection laws as determined by the European Commission and government authorities in UK and Switzerland. Individuals in the countries referenced have rights to receive information about how their personal data is protected when transferred.
 - To address the above, <u>TV-eFRM-15277</u>: Measures for Cross Border Transfer–Clinical Studies, summarizes the measures for cross-border transfer of personal data, including key-coded data that are transferred in Clinical Research in accordance with GDPR CHAPTER V, Transfers of personal data to third countries or international organizations.
- Other cross-border transfer and/or data localization requirements.
 - Countries other than the EEA, UK, and Switzerland may be subject to cross-border transfer restrictions and data localization requirements. Common examples include China and Russia. Identify cross-border transfer restrictions and localization requirements for the study and confirm adequate mitigation controls are in place.

 Does this study have cl 	Does this study have clinical sites in the EEA, UK, or Switzerland?		
⊠ Yes, see details belo	w		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pleted <u>TV-eFRM-15277</u> : Measures for Cross-Border Transfer–Clinical n the Trial Master File?		
	rence number ☑ No, The Cross Border Transfer document must First Site Opened in the EEA, UK, or Switzerland.		
2. Have other cross-borde	r transfer or data localization risks been identified for the study?		
\square Yes, see below for n	nitigation controls in place. ⊠ No		
Mitigation controls:			

5 Legal

The Clinical Trial Agreements language is drafted following applicable Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), which requires the most up to date approved templates are used to protect clinical trial data.